



Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_3432
Title of the Manuscript:	Unlocking Biological Insights: Integrating Zoological Research with AI-driven Business Management
Type of the Article	Original Research Article



1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient	<p>Yes, to some degree. It misses the quantitative aspect almost completely. The title of the paper is therefore not fulfilled. The authors should spend a real effort. As it is it is not the right way at all.</p> <p>Yes. But it must be fulfilled(!) through the paper itself.</p> <p>Yes. But it does not solve the fundamental dilemma of the paper. A purely verbalized paper is not enough for the subject of the paper, not for the abstract and neither for any other part. With some good will and hard work, the problem could be solved.</p> <p>Yes, but the content is lacking as explained.</p> <p>It is incomplete – that is the problem (see above).</p> <p>In references and all of the paper we need the role</p>	<p>Respected reviewer, I am revising the title to meet more technical and subject area</p> <p>Revised Title: Synergizing Zoological Research and AI in Business: Unveiling Biological Strategies for Sustainable Innovation</p> <p>Respected reviewer, I have tried to cover maximum points with relevant references.</p>



<p>and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>and importance of mathematics and statistics (as foundations of AI) reflected and used/expressed. See papers by Nicole Radde, J. Gebert. G.W. Weber, E. Kropat and others on gene networks, gene environment networks, regulatory systems, etc.</p> <p>The typos and other impurities must be found and corrected!</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p>	<p>An efficiently and honestly done Major Revision. We try to help authors. But it MUST also be science.</p>	
<p><u>Optional</u> comments</p>	<p>I ask the editor to check whether the revised version fulfils at least a bit what I requested. Then he can decide about acceptance or not yet.</p> <p>(For my own situation I cannot serve more.)</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></i></p>	